# Decomposing graphs into edges and Triangles

Adam Blumenthal Daniel Kráľ <u>Bernard Lidický</u> Yanitsa Pehova Taísa Martins <u>Oleg Pikhurko</u> Florian Pfender Jan Volec

> Atlanta Lecture Series March 30, 2019



USE ACROREAD, NO SMOOTHS



Adam Blumenthal



Daniel Kráľ







Florian Pfender



Taísa Martins



**Oleg** Pikhurko

Jan Volec



GRWC















Motivation: Designing experiments.

THEOREM (ERDŐS, GOODMAN, PÓSA (1966)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  cliques. THEOREM (ERDŐS, GOODMAN, PÓSA (1966)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  edges and triangles. THEOREM (ERDŐS, GOODMAN, PÓSA (1966)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  edges and triangles.

Quick check:

THEOREM (ERDŐS, GOODMAN, PÓSA (1966)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  edges and triangles.

Quick check:

• No triangles  $\rightarrow K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$  can be decomposed into  $\frac{n^2}{4}$  edges;



THEOREM (ERDŐS, GOODMAN, PÓSA (1966)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$  edges and triangles.

Quick check:

- No triangles  $\rightarrow K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$  can be decomposed into  $\frac{n^2}{4}$  edges;
- "All triangles"  $\rightarrow K_n$  can be decomposed into  $\frac{1}{3} \binom{n}{2} \approx \frac{n^2}{6}$  triangles.













THEOREM (CHUNG (1981); GYŐRI, KOSTOCHKA (1980)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into cliques  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ .

In general:

- Assign cost  $c_r$  to a clique  $K_r$ .
- Minimize  $\sum_{r} c_r \cdot \# K_r$ .

THEOREM (CHUNG (1981); GYŐRI, KOSTOCHKA (1980)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into cliques  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ .

In general:

- Assign cost  $c_r$  to a clique  $K_r$ .
- Minimize  $\sum_{r} c_r \cdot \# K_r$ .

#### THEOREM (GYŐRI AND TUZA (1987))

The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{9n^2}{16}$ .

THEOREM (CHUNG (1981); GYŐRI, KOSTOCHKA (1980)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into cliques  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ .

In general:

- Assign cost  $c_r$  to a clique  $K_r$ .
- Minimize  $\sum_{r} c_r \cdot \# K_r$ .

#### THEOREM (GYŐRI AND TUZA (1987))

The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{9n^2}{16}$ .

#### Conjecture (Győri and Tuza (1987))

The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .

THEOREM (CHUNG (1981); GYŐRI, KOSTOCHKA (1980)) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into cliques  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ .

In general:

- Assign cost  $c_r$  to a clique  $K_r$ .
- Minimize  $\sum_{r} c_r \cdot \# K_r$ .

#### THEOREM (GYŐRI AND TUZA (1987))

The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{9n^2}{16}$ .

#### Conjecture (Győri and Tuza (1987))

The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_{\ell}$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .



#### PROOF OUTLINE

1. Obtain a fractional decomposition into edges and triangles. (flag algebras method)

$$cost = 2 \# K_2 + 3 \# K_3$$

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) The edges of any graph G of order n can be decomposed into edges and triangles  $C_1, \ldots, C_\ell$  with  $\sum_i |C_i| \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .



#### PROOF OUTLINE

- 1. Obtain a fractional decomposition into edges and triangles. (flag algebras method)
- 2. Fractional to full decomposition. (regularity method)

#### DEFINITION

A decomposition of a graph G into triangles  $\mathcal{T}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E}$  is an assignment  $w : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that for each  $e \in E(G)$ :

$$\sum_{T \supseteq e} w(T) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) = 1$$



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

#### DEFINITION

A decomposition of a graph G into triangles  $\mathcal{T}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E}$  is an assignment  $w : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that for each  $e \in E(G)$ :

$$\sum_{T \supseteq e} w(T) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) = 1$$



3

#### DEFINITION

A decomposition of a graph G into triangles  $\mathcal{T}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E}$  is an assignment  $w : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that for each  $e \in E(G)$ :

$$\sum_{T \supseteq e} w(T) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) = 1$$



3 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 9

DEFINITION

A *fractional decomposition* of a graph *G* into triangles  $\mathcal{T}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E}$  is an assignment  $w : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that for each  $e \in E(G)$ :

$$\sum_{T \supseteq e} w(T) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) = 1$$



DEFINITION

A *fractional decomposition* of a graph *G* into triangles  $\mathcal{T}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E}$  is an assignment  $w : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that for each  $e \in E(G)$ :

$$\sum_{T \supseteq e} w(T) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) = 1$$



3 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 9

 $\frac{1}{2}(3+3+3+3)=6$ 

DEFINITION

A *fractional decomposition* of a graph *G* into triangles  $\mathcal{T}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E}$  is an assignment  $w : \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that for each  $e \in E(G)$ :

$$\sum_{T \supseteq e} w(T) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) = 1$$



3+2+2+2=9  $\frac{1}{2}(3+3+3+3)=6$ 

 $K_4$  doesn't have a triangle decomposition but it has a fractional triangle decomposition.  $cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$
DEFINITION For a graph G, let

 $\pi_3(G) = \min$  cost of a triangle-edge decomposition of G

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

#### DEFINITION For a graph G, let

 $\pi_3(G) = \min \text{ cost of a triangle-edge decomposition of } G$ 

and

 $\pi_{3,f}(G) = \min \text{ cost of a fractional triangle-edge decomposition of } G.$ 

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

DEFINITION For a graph G, let

 $\pi_3(G) = \min \text{ cost of a triangle-edge decomposition of } G$  and

 $\pi_{3,f}(G) = \min \text{ cost of a fractional triangle-edge decomposition of } G.$ Clearly,  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq \pi_3(G)$ .

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

#### DEFINITION For a graph G, let

 $\pi_3(G) = \min$  cost of a triangle-edge decomposition of G

and

 $\pi_{3,f}(G) = \min \text{ cost of a fractional triangle-edge decomposition of } G.$ 

Clearly,  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq \pi_3(G)$ .



#### DEFINITION For a graph G, let

 $\pi_3(G) = \min \text{ cost of a triangle-edge decomposition of } G$ 

and

 $\pi_{3,f}(G) = \min \text{ cost of a fractional triangle-edge decomposition of } G.$ 

Clearly,  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq \pi_3(G)$ .



Our Theorem first step:  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ 

KEY LEMMA (USING FLAG ALGEBRAS)

Let G be a (large) graph and W be a uniformly chosen random subset of 7 vertices of G. Then

 $E[\pi_{3,f}(G[W])] \leq 21 + o(1).$ 

Key Lemma (using flag algebras)

Let G be a (large) graph and W be a uniformly chosen random subset of 7 vertices of G. Then

 $E[\pi_{3,f}(G[W])] \leq 21 + o(1).$ 

Fractional decomposition of G:

- sum optimal decompositions for all  $W \in \binom{V}{7}$
- divide by  $\binom{n-2}{5}$

Each edge is in  $\binom{n-2}{5}$  Ws so it is a fractional decomposition of G.

KEY LEMMA (USING FLAG ALGEBRAS)

Let G be a (large) graph and W be a uniformly chosen random subset of 7 vertices of G. Then

 $E[\pi_{3,f}(G[W])] \leq 21 + o(1).$ 

Fractional decomposition of G:

- sum optimal decompositions for all  $W \in \binom{V}{7}$
- divide by  $\binom{n-2}{5}$ Each edge is in  $\binom{n-2}{5}$  Ws so it is a fractional decomposition of G.

$$\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n-2}{5}} \sum_{W} \pi_{3,f}(G[W])$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n-2}{5}} \binom{n}{7} (21 + o(1)) = \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$$

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) For any sufficiently large graph G,  $\pi_3(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .

#### Proof

✓ Obtain a fractional decomposition into edges and triangles  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$  (flag algebra methods).

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) For any sufficiently large graph G,  $\pi_3(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .

#### Proof

- ✓ Obtain a fractional decomposition into edges and triangles  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$  (flag algebra methods).
- Fractional to full decomposition (regularity method).

DEFINITION

H fixed small graph, G large graph.

DEFINITION *H* fixed small graph, *G* large graph.  $\nu_H(G) = \max$  size of *H*-packing of *G*,



 $\nu_{K_3}(K_4) = 1$ 

DEFINITION *H* fixed small graph, *G* large graph.  $\nu_H(G) = \max$  size of *H*-packing of *G*,  $\nu_H^f(G) = \max$  size of a fractional *H*-packing of *G*.



 $\nu_{K_3}(K_4) = 1$ 



$$\nu_{K_3}^f(K_4) = 2$$

DEFINITION *H* fixed small graph, *G* large graph.  $\nu_H(G) = \max$  size of *H*-packing of *G*,  $\nu_H^f(G) = \max$  size of a fractional *H*-packing of *G*.  $\nu_H(G) \le \nu_H^f(G)$ .



 $\nu_{K_2}(K_4) = 1$ 



 $\nu_{K_2}^f(K_4) = 2$ 

DEFINITION *H* fixed small graph, *G* large graph.  $\nu_H(G) = \max$  size of *H*-packing of *G*,  $\nu_H^f(G) = \max$  size of a fractional *H*-packing of *G*.  $\nu_H(G) \le \nu_H^f(G)$ .





 $u_{K_3}(K_4)=1$ 

 $\nu_{K_3}^f(K_4)=2$ 

THEOREM (HAXELL, RÖDL 2001) For any graph H and an *n*-vertex graph G we have

 $\nu_H^f(G) \leq \nu_H(G) + o(n^2).$ 

 $\nu_H^f(G) \leq \nu_H(G) + o(n^2).$ 

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

 $\nu_H^f(G) \leq \nu_H(G) + o(n^2).$ 

Notice  $\pi_3(G) = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$ 

 $\nu_H^f(G) \leq \nu_H(G) + o(n^2).$ 

Notice  $\pi_3(G) = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G) \le 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}^f(G) + o(n^2)$ 

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

 $\nu_H^f(G) \leq \nu_H(G) + o(n^2).$ 

Notice  $\pi_3(G) = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{\mathcal{K}_3}(G) \le 2e(G) - 3\nu_{\mathcal{K}_3}^f(G) + o(n^2)$ COROLLARY

$$\pi_3(G) \le \pi_{3,f}(G) + o(n^2) \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$$

 $\nu_H^f(G) \leq \nu_H(G) + o(n^2).$ 

Notice  $\pi_3(G) = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G) \le 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}^f(G) + o(n^2)$ COROLLARY

$$\pi_3(G) \le \pi_{3,f}(G) + o(n^2) \le \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$$

#### THEOREM (YUSTER 2004)

For a fixed family  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs and an *n* vertex graph *G* we have

 $u_{\mathcal{F}}^{f}(G) \leq \nu_{\mathcal{F}}(G) + o(n^{2}).$ 

## THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) For any sufficiently large graph G

$$\pi_3(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2).$$

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) For any sufficiently large graph G

$$\pi_3(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2).$$

What is needed for  $o(n^2)$ ?

THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) For any sufficiently large graph G

$$\pi_3(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2).$$

What is needed for  $o(n^2)$ ? Examples:  $\pi_3(K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}) = \frac{n^2}{2}$  $\pi_3(K_{\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{n+1}{2}}) = \frac{n^2-1}{2}$ 



THEOREM (KRÁL, L., MARTINS, PEHOVA 2019) For any sufficiently large graph G

$$\pi_3(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2).$$

What is needed for  $o(n^2)$ ? Examples:  $\pi_3(K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}) = \frac{n^2}{2}$  $\pi_3(K_{\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{n+1}{2}}) = \frac{n^2-1}{2}$  $\pi_3(K_n) = ?$ 



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3

Conditions for triangle decomposition

- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3











 $n = 9 \equiv 3$   $n = 10 \equiv 4$ 



$$n = 8 \equiv 2$$



e = 36, d = 8 e = 45, d = 9 e = 55, d = 10

$$n = 11 \equiv 5$$

- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3









$$n = 11 \equiv 5$$

- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3



- every vertex has even degree
- number of edges is divisible by 3


### Main Result 2

THEOREM (BLUMENTHAL, L., PIKHURKO, PEHOVA, PFENDER, VOLEC) For sufficiently large n,

$$\pi_{3}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^{2}}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \mod 6 \quad \dots \quad K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \text{ and } K_{n}, \\ \frac{n^{2}-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \mod 6 \quad \dots \quad K_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}}, \\ \frac{n^{2}}{2}+1 & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \mod 6 \quad \dots \quad K_{n}. \end{cases}$$



Note  $\pi_3(K_5) = 14 > \frac{n^2}{2} + 1$ . The theorem cannot be extended to all *n* without adding exception(s).

#### EXTREMAL EXAMPLES AND STABILITY

If  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \leq (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon) n^2$  then  $\pi_3(G) < \frac{1}{2}n^2$  by Yuster/Haxell,Rödl. If  $\pi_{3,f}(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon) n^2$ , by flag algebra methods the following graphs



have density at most  $\delta$ , where  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . By Induced removal lemma, G is  $\mathcal{F}$ -free up to  $\delta' n^2$  edges.



Hence G is given or  $\delta' n^2$  edges.





- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U
- triangles with 1 extra edge with U



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U
- triangles with 1 extra edge with U



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U
- triangles with 1 extra edge with U
- other triangles with extra edges



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U
- triangles with 1 extra edge with U
- other triangles with extra edges
- rest taken as K<sub>2</sub>s



- take maxcut  $(|E(G)| \ge \frac{n^2}{4})$
- extra edges, missing edges
- high extra degree vertices U
- triangles with 1 extra edge with U
- other triangles with extra edges
- rest taken as K<sub>2</sub>s

#### THEOREM (GYŐRI 1988)

If G is a graph with n vertices and  $n^2/4 + k$  edges, where  $n \to \infty$ and  $k = o(n^2)$ , then it has at least  $k - O(k^2/n^2)$  edge-disjoint triangles. THEOREM (BARBER, KUHN, LO, OSTHUS; DROSS; GUSTAVSSON) Every large graph G on n vertices, where |E(G)| is a multiple of 3 and all vertices have even degree at least (9/10 + o(1))n has a triangle decomposition. THEOREM (BARBER, KUHN, LO, OSTHUS; DROSS; GUSTAVSSON) Every large graph G on n vertices, where |E(G)| is a multiple of 3 and all vertices have even degree at least (9/10 + o(1))n has a triangle decomposition.

 $K_n$  proof overview

- special treatment for low degree vertices
- make all degrees even and |E(G)| divisible by 3
- apply Theorem

Desired conclusion:

If G is close to  $K_n$  then  $\pi_3(G) \leq \pi_3(K_n)$ .







- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in *S* even



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in *S* even



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles
- cover U edges using  $K_2$ s.



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles
- cover U edges using  $K_2$ s.
- make rest triangle divisible



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles
- cover U edges using  $K_2$ s.
- make rest triangle divisible



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles
- cover U edges using  $K_2$ s.
- make rest triangle divisible



- $\delta(G) \ge n/8$
- degree < 0.99n go to U, rest in W
- $S \subseteq W$  with odd degree in G
- make degrees in **S** even
- for u in U cover  $N_u[W]$  by triangles
- cover U edges using  $K_2$ s.
- make rest triangle divisible

- G is K<sub>n</sub> or
- G is K<sub>n</sub> without a matching of size 2 (mod 3) and n ≡ 1,3 (mod 6).

 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



## $K_n$ WITHOUT A MATCHING

 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible


### $K_n$ WITHOUT A MATCHING

 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



### $K_n$ without a matching

 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



### $K_n$ without a matching

 $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$  means  $K_n$  is triangle divisible



THEOREM (BLUMENTHAL, L., PIKHURKO, PEHOVA, PFENDER, VOLEC) For sufficiently large n,

$$\pi_{3}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^{2}}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \mod 6 \dots K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \text{ and } K_{n}, \\ \frac{n^{2}-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \mod 6 \dots K_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}}, \\ \frac{n^{2}}{2}+1 & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \mod 6 \dots K_{n}. \end{cases}$$

Can we find a better upper bound on  $\pi_3(G)$  if we also know the edge-density of G?









Flag algebras on 4 vertices

Flag algebras on 5 vertices

Flag algebras on 6 vertices

Flag algebras on 7 vertices

Flag algebras on 8 vertices



Flag algebras on 8 vertices

Flag algebras on 8 vertices Only numerical upper bound. Not clear how the curve looks like. Can you find a lower bound?

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - \frac{(6-\alpha)}{\nu_{K_3}(G)}$$

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_3}(G)$$

Notice  $\pi_3^{\geq 6}(G) = 2e(G)$ .

 $\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_3}(G)$ 

Notice  $\pi_3^{\geq 6}(G) = 2e(G)$ . What if  $\alpha < 6$ ? Which graphs maximize  $\pi_3^{\alpha}$ ?

 $\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_2}(G)$ 

Notice  $\pi_3^{\geq 6}(G) = 2e(G)$ . What if  $\alpha < 6$ ? Which graphs maximize  $\pi_3^{\alpha}$ ? We solved  $\pi_3^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = 3$ .

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_3}(G)$$

OBSERVATION If  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) > \pi_3^{\alpha}(G)$  then  $\pi_3^{\beta}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) > \pi_3^{\beta}(G)$  for all  $\alpha > \beta$ .

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_3}(G)$$

OBSERVATION  
If 
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) > \pi_3^{\alpha}(G)$$
 then  $\pi_3^{\beta}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}) > \pi_3^{\beta}(G)$  for all  $\alpha > \beta$ .

#### CONCLUSION

For every  $\alpha < 3$ , sufficiently large n and every graph G on n vertices

 $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \leq \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}).$ 

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_3}(G)$$

OBSERVATION If  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n) > \pi_3^{\alpha}(G)$  then  $\pi_3^{\beta}(K_n) > \pi_3^{\beta}(G)$  for all  $\alpha < \beta$ .

$$\pi_3(G) := 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3 = 2e(G) - 3\nu_{K_3}(G)$$
$$\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) := 2\#K_2 + \alpha\#K_3 = 2e(G) - (6 - \alpha)\nu_{K_3}(G)$$

OBSERVATION If  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n) > \pi_3^{\alpha}(G)$  then  $\pi_3^{\beta}(K_n) > \pi_3^{\beta}(G)$  for all  $\alpha < \beta$ .

#### CONCLUSION

For every  $\alpha > 3$ , sufficiently large *n* and every graph *G* on *n* vertices

 $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \leq \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n)$ 

unless  $n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{6}$ . The exception is if  $3 < \alpha < 4$ , then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \le \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n^{=})$ .



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + \alpha \#K_3$$



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + \alpha \#K_3$$



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + \alpha \#K_3$$



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + \alpha \#K_3$$



$$cost = 2\#K_2 + \alpha \#K_3$$

If  $\alpha > 4$  then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) < \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n)$  for all *n*. Let *G'* be obtained from *G* by adding an edge.



#### If $\alpha = 4$ , then maximizers are



### $\alpha$ Summary

THEOREM (BLUMENTHAL, L., PIKHURKO, PEHOVA, PFENDER, VOLEC) For every  $\alpha \ge 0$  exists  $n_0$  such that for all graphs G on  $n > n_0$  the following cases hold.

- If  $\alpha < 3$  then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \leq \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})$ .
- If  $\alpha = 3$  then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \leq \max\{\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n), \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})\}$
- If  $3 < \alpha < 4$ , then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \le \max\{\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n), \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n^{=})\}.$
- If  $\alpha = 4$ , then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \le \max\{\pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n), \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n^-), \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n^-)\}.$
- If  $4 < \alpha$ , then  $\pi_3^{\alpha}(G) \le \pi_3^{\alpha}(K_n)$ .

Moreover, these are the only possible extremal examples.

 $cost = 2\#K_2 + \alpha \#K_3$ 

Assuming that each complete subgraph  $K_i$  has weight i - 1(i = 2, 3, ...), prove that every graph G on n vertices admits a partition into  $K_i$ s of total weight w(G) at most  $n^2/4 = 0.25n^2$ .

Assuming that each complete subgraph  $K_i$  has weight i - 1(i = 2, 3, ...), prove that every graph G on n vertices admits a partition into  $K_i$ s of total weight w(G) at most  $n^2/4 = 0.25n^2$ . Using only  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  gives  $w(K_n) \approx n^2/3$ .

Assuming that each complete subgraph  $K_i$  has weight i - 1(i = 2, 3, ...), prove that every graph G on n vertices admits a partition into  $K_i$ s of total weight w(G) at most  $n^2/4 = 0.25n^2$ . Using only  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  gives  $w(K_n) \approx n^2/3$ . Flag algebras using  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$ ,  $K_4$  give upper bound of  $0.27256n^2$ .



Assuming that each complete subgraph  $K_i$  has weight i - 1(i = 2, 3, ...), prove that every graph G on n vertices admits a partition into  $K_i$ s of total weight w(G) at most  $n^2/4 = 0.25n^2$ . Using only  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  gives  $w(K_n) \approx n^2/3$ . Flag algebras using  $K_2, K_3, K_4$  give upper bound of  $0.27256n^2$ . Flag algebras using  $K_2, ..., K_7$  give upper bound of  $0.27256n^2$ .



### WHY FLAG ALGEBRAS MIGHT STRUGGLE? Problem (Simpler)

Assuming that each complete subgraph  $K_i$  has weight i - 1(i = 2, 3, ...), prove that every  $K_4$ -free graph G on n vertices admits a partition into  $K_i$  of total weight w(G) at most  $n^2/4 = 0.25n^2$ .


# WHY FLAG ALGEBRAS MIGHT STRUGGLE? Problem (Simpler)

Assuming that each complete subgraph  $K_i$  has weight i - 1(i = 2, 3, ...), prove that every  $K_4$ -free graph G on n vertices admits a partition into  $K_i$  of total weight w(G) at most  $n^2/4 = 0.25n^2$ .



Complete 3-partite graph  $T_3(n)$ Since  $T_3(n)$  is (almost) triangle decomposable,

$$w(T_3(n))\approx \frac{2}{9}n^2\approx 0.22222n^2$$



 $w(G) := \#K_2 + 2\#K_3$ 

Complete 3-partite graph  $T_3(n)$ Since  $T_3(n)$  is (almost) triangle decomposable,

$$w(T_3(n))\approx \frac{2}{9}n^2\approx 0.22222n^2$$



Flag algebras approach:

For every  $X \in \binom{V}{3}$  take  $\frac{w_f(G[X])}{\binom{n-2}{2}}$  decomposition and sum these.

$$w(G) := \#K_2 + 2\#K_3$$

Complete 3-partite graph  $T_3(n)$ Since  $T_3(n)$  is (almost) triangle decomposable,

$$w(T_3(n))\approx \frac{2}{9}n^2\approx 0.22222n^2$$



Flag algebras approach: For every  $X \in \binom{V}{3}$  take  $\frac{w_f(G[X])}{\binom{n-2}{1}}$  decomposition and sum these. Expected cost of decomposition on 3 vertices



$$w(G) := \#K_2 + 2\#K_3$$

Complete 3-partite graph  $T_3(n)$ Since  $T_3(n)$  is (almost) triangle decomposable,

$$w(T_3(n))\approx \frac{2}{9}n^2\approx 0.22222n^2$$



Flag algebras approach: For every  $X \in {\binom{V}{3}}$  take  $\frac{w_f(G[X])}{\binom{n-2}{1}}$  decomposition and sum these. Expected cost of decomposition on 3 vertices

$$0 \quad \frac{1}{9} \quad +1 \quad 0 \quad +2 \quad \frac{6}{9} \quad +2 \quad \frac{2}{9} = 16/9$$

$$w(G) := \#K_2 + 2\#K_3$$

Complete 3-partite graph  $T_3(n)$ Since  $T_3(n)$  is (almost) triangle decomposable,

$$w(T_3(n))\approx \frac{2}{9}n^2\approx 0.22222n^2$$



Flag algebras approach: For every  $X \in \binom{V}{3}$  take  $\frac{w_f(G[X])}{\binom{n-2}{1}}$  decomposition and sum these. Expected cost of decomposition on 3 vertices



Conclusion: Our formulation using FA is not strong enough.

 $w(G) := \#K_2 + 2\#K_3$ 

### ONE MORE PROBLEM

#### PROBLEM (PYBER 1991)

Can the edge set of every *n*-vertex graph be covered with triangles of weight 3 and edges of weight 2 such that their total weight is at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \rfloor$ ?

$$cost = 2\#K_2 + 3\#K_3$$

### ONE MORE PROBLEM

#### PROBLEM (PYBER 1991)

Can the edge set of every *n*-vertex graph be covered with triangles of weight 3 and edges of weight 2 such that their total weight is at most  $\lfloor \frac{n^2}{2} \rfloor$ ?

THEOREM (BLUMENTHAL, L., PIKHURKO, PEHOVA, PFENDER, VOLEC) For sufficiently large n,

$$\pi_{3}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^{2}}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \mod 6 \quad \dots \quad K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}} \text{ and } K_{n}, \\ \frac{n^{2}-1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \mod 6 \quad \dots \quad K_{\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}}, \\ \frac{n^{2}}{2}+1 & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \mod 6 \quad \dots \quad K_{n}. \end{cases}$$

n = 6k + 4, find a covering of  $G = K_n$  of cost  $\leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ . Triangle decomposition: even degrees, |E(G)| divisible by 3



d(v) = 6k+3 $e(G) = 3(6k^2 + 7k + 2)$ 

n = 6k + 4, find a covering of  $G = K_n$  of cost  $\leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ . Triangle decomposition: even degrees, |E(G)| divisible by 3



$$d(v) = 6k+2e(G) = 3(6k^2 + 7k + 2) - 3k - 2$$

n = 6k + 4, find a covering of  $G = K_n$  of cost  $\leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ . Triangle decomposition: even degrees, |E(G)| divisible by 3



$$d(v) = 6k+2 \text{ or } 6k$$
  

$$e(G) = 3(6k^2 + 7k + 2) - 3k - 3$$
  

$$cost = \frac{n^2}{2} + 1$$

n = 6k + 4, find a covering of  $G = K_n$  of cost  $\leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ . Triangle decomposition: even degrees, |E(G)| divisible by 3



$$d(v) = 6k+2 \text{ or } 6k$$
  

$$e(G) = 3(6k^2 + 7k + 2) - 3k - 3$$
  

$$cost = \frac{n^2}{2} + 1 - 4 + 3$$

n = 6k + 4, find a covering of  $G = K_n$  of cost  $\leq \frac{n^2}{2}$ . Triangle decomposition: even degrees, |E(G)| divisible by 3



$$d(v) = 6k+2 \text{ or } 6k$$
  

$$e(G) = 3(6k^2 + 7k + 2) - 3k - 3$$
  

$$cost = \frac{n^2}{2} + 1 - 4 + 3$$

Thank you for your attention