## An example of the dual simplex method

Suppose we are given the problem

$$
\text { Minimize } z=2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+4 x_{3}+5 x_{4}
$$

subject to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{1} & -x_{2} & +x_{3} & -x_{4} & \geq 10,  \tag{1}\\
x_{1} & -2 x_{2} & +3 x_{3} & -4 x_{4} & \geq & 6, \\
3 x_{1} & -4 x_{2} & +5 x_{3} & -6 x_{4} & \geq & 15 \\
x_{1}, & x_{2}, & x_{3}, & x_{4} & \geq & 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we would have inequalities $\leq$ instead of $\geq$, then the usual simplex would work nicely. The two-phase method is more tedious. But since all coefficients in $z=$ $2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+4 x_{3}+5 x_{4}$ are non-negative, we are fine for the dual simplex.

Multiply the equations by -1 and add to each of the equations its own variable. Then we get the following tableau.

|  |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x_{0}=-z$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{5}$ | -10 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{6}$ | -6 | -1 | 2 | -3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $x_{7}$ | -15 | -3 | 4 | -5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Choose Row 1 to pivot on. The ratio for $x_{1}$ is better than for $x_{3}$, so pivot on $a_{1,1}$. After pivoting, we get

| $x_{0}=-z$ |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -20 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{1}$ | 10 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{6}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| $x_{7}$ | 15 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 1 |

Now every $a_{i, 0}$ for $i>0$ is nonnegative. So, the tableau is optimal. But suppose that the boss adds now the new restriction:

$$
x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}-4 x_{4} \leq 8 .
$$

With the dual simplex, we do not need to start from scratch. We simply add the new row and one more column to our final tableau.

| $x_{0}=-z$ |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -20 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{1}$ | 10 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{6}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{7}$ | 15 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $x_{8}$ | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Excluding from the last row $x_{1}, x_{6}$ and $x_{7}$, we get the tableau

|  |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x_{0}=-z$ | $x_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -20 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{1}$ | 10 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{6}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| $x_{7}$ | 15 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{8}$ | -2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | -3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{8}$ |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note that if in the last row there were no -3 , then the LP would be infeasible. Now we pivot on $x_{4}$ :

|  |  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ | $x_{6}$ | $x_{7}$ | $x_{8}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x_{0}=-z$ | $-74 / 3$ | 0 | 12 | $20 / 3$ | 0 | $13 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| $x_{1}$ | $32 / 3$ | 1 | -2 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | $-4 / 3$ | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 3$ |
| $x_{6}$ | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $x_{7}$ | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $x_{4}$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | -1 | $-2 / 3$ | 1 | $-1 / 3$ | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Thus we got a solution again.

