

3.3 BEYOND STEEPEST DESCENT

MAKING "GOOD" ITERATIVE METHOD

MIN $f(x)$, CONTINUOUS $\nabla f(x)$

START x_0 .

GENERATE SEQUENCE $\{x_k\}$ AS

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + t_k p_k \quad t_k > 0$$

SUCH THAT

1) $f(x_{k+1}) < f(x_k)$ IF $\nabla f(x_k) \neq 0$
↳ DESCENT METHOD

2) $p_k^T \nabla f(x_k) < 0$ ← MOVING IN DES. DIRECTION

$$\varphi_k(t) = f(x_k + t p_k) \quad \dots$$

$$\varphi_k(0) = \nabla f(x_k)^T p_k < 0$$

SO FOR t SMALL

$$f(x_k + t p_k) = \varphi_k(t) < \varphi_k(0) = f(x_k)$$

[TOO SMALL t IS BAD - SLOW CONV. \Rightarrow 3]


3) $\exists 0 < \beta < 1$ S.T. $p_{k+1}^T \nabla f(x_{k+1}) > \beta p_k^T \nabla f(x_k)$

t_k NOT TOO SMALL (BY 2+3)

$$p_k^T \nabla f(x_k + t_k p_k) > \beta \underbrace{p_k^T \nabla f(x_k)}_{< 0 \text{ BY 2,}} > p_k^T \nabla f(x_k)$$

$$\mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k + t_k \mu_k) - \mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k) > (\beta - 1) \mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k) > 0$$

IF $t_k \rightarrow 0$ THEN $\dots = 0$ AND RIGHT HAND SIDE > 0
 \Rightarrow NO ARBITRARILY SMALL t_k

BUT WHAT IF t_k TOO LARGE (MAYBE NOT BEST DECREASE)  GOING TOO FAR.

$\hookrightarrow \exists 0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ SUCH THAT

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) + \alpha t_k \mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k)$$

REWRITE

$$\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})}{t_k} \geq \alpha [-\mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k)]$$

\hookrightarrow RELATIVE DECREASE \geq FRACTION OF POSSIBLE DECREASE.

DIFF VIEW: $M = \frac{\alpha \mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k)}{\|\mu_k\|}$

$$\frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})}{\|x_k - x_{k+1}\|} = \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})}{\|\mu_k\| \cdot t_k} \geq M$$

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \geq M \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.3.1 WOLFE

LET $f(x)$ HAVE CONTINUOUS FIRST PARTIAL DERIVATIVES. AND BE BOUNDED FROM

BELOW.. LET $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$,

IF $\mu_k, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ S.T.

$$\mu_k^T \cdot \nabla f(x_k) < 0$$

THEN $\exists 0 \leq \alpha_k < \beta_k$ SUCH THAT

- 4₁ HOLDS $\forall t_k \in (0, \beta_k)$
- 3₁ HOLDS $\forall t_k \in (\alpha_k, \beta_k)$

HOW TO FIND t ? DIFFICULT

- TRY $t=1$

WHILE 4₁ VIOLATED:

$$f(x_k + t\mu_k) > f(x_k) + \alpha t \mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k)$$

REPLACE t BY βt E.S. WHERE $\beta < 1$

[HOW TO FIND β ? NUMERICAL METHODS]

CHOICE OF μ_k :

- WANT $\mu_k^T \nabla f(x_k) < 0$ (2)

STEEPEST DESCENT: WITH $Q = I$

$$\mu_k = -\nabla f(x_k)$$

Q POSITIVE DEFINITE:

FOR $\mu_k = -Q \nabla f(x_k)$ (3) HOLDS

$$\{-\nabla f(x_k)^T Q \nabla f(x_k) < 0\}$$

RECALL NEWTON'S METHOD

$$\mu_k = -[H_f(x)]^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$$

WORKS FOR $H_f(x)$ POS DEF.

IF $H_f(x)$ NOT POSITIVE DEF. TAKE

$$Q = H_f(x) + \mu_k I \quad (\mu_k)$$

FIND μ_k :

$$x^T (H_f(x) + \mu_k I) x = x^T H_f(x) x + \|x\|^2 \mu_k$$

WANT \rightarrow HOW SMALL \rightarrow ?

$$\bar{\mu}_k = \max_{\|x\|=1} (x^T H_f(x) x)$$

... EX $\bar{\mu}_k = \lambda_1$ LARGEST EIGEN VALUE

$$x^T (H_f(x) + \mu_k I) x = \|x\|^2 \cdot \left[\frac{x^T H_f(x) x}{\|x\|} + \mu_k \right] \geq$$
$$\geq \|x\|^2 (-\bar{\mu}_k + \mu_k) > 0$$

$\Rightarrow H_{\mathcal{J}}(x_k) + \mu_k I$ IS POSITIVE DEFINITE

ALGORITHM:

MIX OF NEWTON &
& STEEPEST DESCENT

INPUT x_k

1) COMPUTE μ_k SS.

$H_{\mathcal{J}}(x_k) + \mu_k I$ IS POSITIVE DEFINITE

2) SOLVE μ_k :

$$(H_{\mathcal{J}}(x_k) + \mu_k I) \mu_k = -\nabla \mathcal{J}(x_k)$$

3) COMPUTE ϵ_k TO SATISFY K Wolfe

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \epsilon_k \mu_k$$

↳ APPROXIMATE $\mathcal{J}(x)$ BY

NEWTON
HAS $H_{\mathcal{J}}(x)$



$$Q_k(x) = \mathcal{J}(x_k) + \nabla \mathcal{J}(x_k)^T (x - x_k) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x_k)^T A_k (x - x_k)$$

WHERE $A_k = H_{\mathcal{J}}(x_k) + \mu_k I$

IN PRACTICE:

$$\mu_k = -A_k^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{J}(x_k)$$

MAY BE TOO LARGE ϵ (NUMERICAL ISSUES)

IDEA:

KEEP THE STEP LENGTH $l_k = \|e_k\|^{(2)}$

AND COMPUTE X_{k+1} AS:

$$X_{k+1} - X_k = (A_k + \lambda_k I)^{-1} (\nabla f(X_k))$$

WHILE $\|X_{k+1} - X_k\| = l_k$

IS λ_k RUINING THE SOLUTION??

THEOREM 5.5.1

LET f HAVE CONTINUOUS SECOND PARTIAL DERIVATIVES, $X_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ AND

$$Q_k(x) = f(X_k) + \nabla f(X_k)^T (x - X_k) + \frac{1}{2} (x - X_k)^T A_k (x - X_k)$$

THERE EXISTS $\lambda_k \geq 0$ SUCH THAT

MINIMIZER X_{k+1} OF $Q_k(x)$ SUBJECT TO

$\|x - X_k\| \leq l_k$ IS A SOLUTION TO

$$(A_k + \lambda_k I)(x - X_k) = -\nabla f(X_k)$$

IF $\| -A_k^{-1} (\nabla f(X_k)) \| \leq l_k$, PICK $\lambda_k = 0$, OTHERWISE

$\lambda_k > 0$ ST. $\|X_{k+1} - X_k\| = l_k$

PROOF APPLY KKT

$$(P) \begin{cases} \text{MIN } Q_k(x) \\ \text{s.t. } \|x - x_k\|^2 - l_k^2 \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$L(x, \mu_k) = f_0(x_k) + \nabla f_0(x_k)^T (x - x_k) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x_k)^T A_k (x - x_k) + \mu_k (\|x - x_k\|^2 - l_k^2)$$

WHERE μ_k IS KKT MULTIPLIER (λ^* FROM KKT)

x^{k+1} - $L(x^*, \mu_k) \leq L(x, \mu_k)$ OR GRADIENT CONDITION -

$$0 = \nabla f_0(x_k) + A_k (x_{k+1} - x_k) + 2\mu_k (x_{k+1} - x_k)$$

SO

$$(A_k + 2\mu_k)(x^{k+1} - x^k) = -\nabla f_0(x_k)$$

$$\text{LET } \lambda_k = 2\mu_k$$

□

λ_k CAN BE CHOSEN TO PRESERVE OPT. SOLUTION.

→ GOOD APPROXIMATION TO $f_0(x)$

HOPEFULLY

l_k - PLACE WE TRUST THE APPROXIMATION IS GOOD.