Almost all k-sat functions are unate

József Balogh Dingding Dong Bernard Lidický Nitya Mani Yufei Zhao



EXCILL IV May 27, 2023 QUESTION Count functions

 $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ 2^{2^n}

k-SAT FUNCTION can be defined as

 $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=C_1\vee C_2\vee\cdots\vee C_m$

 $C_{i} = \underbrace{z_{1} \land z_{2} \land \dots \land z_{k}}_{\text{all different variables}} \qquad z_{i} \in \{x_{1}, \neg x_{1}, x_{2}, \neg x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}, \neg x_{n}\}$ $x_{i} \text{ variable, } C_{i} \text{ clause, } z_{i} \text{ literal}$ example k = 3 $x_{1} \land x_{2} \rightarrow (x_{1} \land x_{2} \land x_{3}) \lor (x_{1} \land x_{2} \land \neg x_{3})$

 $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \neg x_2 \rightarrow \text{always false}$

Every k-SAT function has a formula but the formula may not be unique.

number of $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ number of *k*-SAT formula $2^{2^k \binom{n}{k}}$ number of *k*-SAT functions?

k-SAT formula is *monotone* if it uses only x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , (i.e. no $\neg x_i$ is used)

 $2^{2^{n}}$

All monotone k-SAT formula give different functions

 $g \notin x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \ni f$ $f \neq g$ at $x_1 = \cdots = x_k = 1, x_{k+1} = \cdots = x_m = 0$

Number of monotone *k*-SAT functions $2^{\binom{n}{k}}$

k-SAT formula is *unate* if it uses at most one of $\{x_i, \neg x_i\} = \{x_i, \overline{x_i}\}$ Number of unate *k*-SAT functions $(1 + o(1))2^{n + \binom{n}{k}}$ Functions avoiding x_i counted multiple times CONJECTURE (BOLLOBÁS, BRIGHTWELL, LEADER 2003) Fix $k \ge 2$, 1 - o(1) fraction of k-SAT functions are unate as $n \to \infty$. $(1 + o(1))2^{n + \binom{n}{k}}$

- # 2-SAT functions is 2^{(1+o(1))(ⁿ₂)}. Bollobás, Brightwell, Leader 2003 using Szemerédi regularity lemma
- Conjecture true for k = 2 Allen 2007 using Szemerédi regularity lemma
- Conjecture true for k = 2 Ilinca, Kahn 2009 without Szemerédi regularity lemma
- Conjecture true for k = 3 Ilinca, Kahn 2012 using hypergraph regularity lemma
- Conjecture true for k = 4, 5 Dong, Mani, Zhao 2022

Conjecture true for all k :-) Balogh, Dong, Lidický, Mani, Zhao 2022+

- $C_1 \vee \cdots \vee C_m$ is *minimal* if deleting any C_i changes the function.
- i.e. for every C_i exists X ∈ {0,1}ⁿ s.t. only C_i is satisfied (w ∧ x) ∨ (w ∧ y) ∨ (x ∧ z̄) ∨ (ȳ ∧ z) is not minimal

Idea: forbid non-minimal formula and transform to a Turán type problem. k-uniform hypergraph

$$V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \qquad E = \binom{\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}}{k}$$

Count the number of hypergraphs not containing forbidden configurations. (forbidden configuration is a non-minimal formula)

- Trouble 1: How to reduce {x₁, x₁,..., x_n, x_n} to *n* vertices and identify forbidden configurations?
 Dong, Mani, Zhao using blow-up, saturation, container method
- Trouble 2: How to solve the resulting hypergraph extremal problem? BDLMZ: computer free flag-algebra

DIRECTED HYERGRAPH TURÁN PROBLEM

Partially directed k-graph is a k-uniform hypergraph, where every edge is

- undirected
- rooted at one vertex (directed towards one vertex)

 $\vec{H} \subseteq \vec{G}$ if \vec{H} could be obtained from \vec{G} by removing some vertices, edges, or orientations.



 \vec{T}_k

• $\vec{T}_2 = \{\hat{1}2, 13, 23\}$

• $\vec{T}_3 = \{\hat{1}24, 134, 234\}$

• $\vec{T}_k = \{\hat{1}24\cdots k+1, 134\cdots k+1, 234\cdots k+1\}$



EXTREMAL PROBLEM

G is k-uniform. *n*-vertex. \vec{T}_{k} -free.

• • • • • :=
$$\alpha := \frac{e_{undirected}(G)}{\binom{n}{k}}$$
 • • • • := $\beta := \frac{e_{directed}(G)}{\binom{n}{k}}$

Given k, θ , what is

_

 $\max{\alpha + \theta\beta}$?

Special (open) case: Show $\alpha + \theta \beta \leq 1$ when $1 \leq \theta \leq (1 - \frac{1}{\nu})^{1-k} \approx e$ Constructions:

Complete undirected graph



CONJECTURE (BOLLOBÁS, BRIGHTWELL, LEADER 2003) Fix $k \ge 2$, almost all k-SAT functions are unate.

THEOREM (DONG, MANI, ZHAO) If $\alpha + \theta \beta \leq 1$ when $\log_2 3 < \theta$ then almost all k-SAT functions are unate. This theorem is a lot of work.

Conjecture true for $k \leq 5$. THEOREM (BALOGH, DONG, LIDICKÝ, MANI, ZHAO) Conjecture true for all k.

THEOREM (DONG. MANI, ZHAO)

CONTAINERS

- few containers
- each minimal k-SAT formula is a subformula of at least one container
- Undirected edge $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\}$ in a container gives

 $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ or nothing

• Directed edge $\{\hat{x}_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ in a container gives

 $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ or $\overline{x}_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ or nothing

• One container with $\alpha \binom{n}{k}$ undirected edges and $\beta \binom{n}{k}$ directed edges gives up to $2^{\alpha \binom{n}{k}} 3^{\beta \binom{n}{k}} = 2^{(\alpha+\beta \log_2 3)\binom{n}{k}} k$ -SAT formulas

THEOREM (FÜREDI 1992)

 $e(G^2) \ge e(G) - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ where $E(G^2) = \{(x, y) : \exists z, xz, yz \in E(G)\}$

THEOREM (DONG, MANI, ZHAO) For k = 2: $\alpha + 2\beta \le 1 + o(1)$

Proof.

- \vec{H} be \vec{T}_2 -free graph
- G underlying graph (forget orientation) $e(G) = (\alpha + \beta) \binom{n}{2}$
- $xy \in E(G)$ and $xy \in E(G^2)$ means xy was undirected in \vec{H} .

$$\binom{n}{2} \ge e(G^2) + \beta\binom{n}{2} \ge e(G) + \beta\binom{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} = (\alpha + 2\beta)\binom{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$$



• Averaging via link-graphs of $v \in \vec{H}$:

$$\pi\left(\vec{T}_{k}, \frac{(k-1)\theta+1}{k}
ight) \leq \pi\left(\vec{T}_{k-1}, \theta
ight)$$

$$\pi\left(\vec{T}_3,\frac{5}{3}\right) \le \pi\left(\vec{T}_2,2\right)$$

- $\frac{5}{3} > \log_2 3$ implying case k = 3
- cases k = 4, 5 slightly more complicated

THEOREM (BALOGH, DONG, LIDICKÝ, MANI, ZHAO) All values of k.



for
$$\theta = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ge 1.707 > \log_2 3$$
 $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, b = \frac{k(\theta - 1) - 1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $k \ge 4$

PROOF FOR k = 2 AND k = 3



THEOREM (BALOGH, DONG, LIDICKÝ, MANI, ZHAO) If \vec{T}_k is forbidden, then $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \bullet \bullet \bullet \le 1$ for all k. QUESTION If \vec{T}_k is forbidden, then $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet + (1 - \frac{1}{k})^{1-k} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \le 1$ for all k? (1 - 1/k)nn/k